

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED S United Standarders: CO

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/664,841 | 09/18/2003 | Gerald Hanisch | HANISCH ETAL | 4903 |
| 7590 06/28/2005 COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, NY 11576 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | JOERGER, KAITLIN S | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , , | | | 3653 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/664,841 | HANISCH ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | • | Kaitlin S. Joerger | 3653 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | | | | |
| Period fo | | , | • | | | |
| THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | • | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S | September 2003. | • | | | |
| • | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | et(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See No(s)/Mail Date 1/12/04. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 states that the screen bars "can be excited to performed oscillations by the material to be screened..." The specification does not adequately describe how the oscillations are performed. The examiner is unclear whether the oscillations are vertical or transverse and how the material performs the oscillations. The only mention of the oscillating features of the screen bars is in the brief summary of the invention and it is only cursorily mentioned. There is not enough details to enable one skilled in the art to make or use the invention based on the description provided.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 3,241,671 to Brauchla.

Brauchla teaches an apparatus for separating grains with a screen 58, made of screen bars, 76, which are situated successively adjacent to one another and slope downwardly in a conveying direction, where the screens perform oscillations. The screen include a bar-like support, 64, held in a frame, such that the screen are arranged in three rows disposed successively in the conveying direction. The frame comprises at least two supports, 64, which are arranged successively behind each other in steps, see figures 1 and 5. The frame also comprises a transport frame, 20, 21, and 24.

Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,398,815 to Hadden.

Hadden teaches an apparatus for separating material with a screen made of bars, 40, which are situated successively adjacent to one another and slope downwardly in a conveying direction, where the screens perform oscillations. The screens include a bar-like support, 36, held in a frame, such that the screen are arranged in three rows disposed successively in the conveying direction. The frame is arranged in the transfer region of two conveyor belts, and is detachably connected and fastened with at least one conveyor belt, see column 1, lines 15+ and column 2, lines 60+.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3653

Claim 3 includes the limitation that each screen bar encompasses the support by at least ninety degrees and that the screen bars are held onto the support by a profile which received the screen bars between itself and the support.

The examiner has determined that the closest prior art, which teaches screen bars that encompass the support by at least ninety degrees is US Patent 327,050 to Wulfert, see figure 3. However, Wulfert makes no mention of a profile used to hold the screen bars onto the support. The examiner was also unable to find motivation to modify the screen system with such a profile. Therefore, the subject matter of claim 3 has been found to be both novel and non-obvious.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See Notice of References Cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaitlin S. Joerger whose telephone number is 571-272-6938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 571-272-6944. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,841

Art Unit: 3653

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ksi

23 June 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600